



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/672,206	09/28/2000	Danny Raz	5	8786	
22046	7590 01/26/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	ECHNOLOGIES INC. MINISTRATOR	KANG, PAUL H			
	ORDS CORNER ROAD -	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
HOLMDEL,	NJ 07733		2141		

DATE MAILED: 01/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/672,206	RAZ, DANNY				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Paul H Kang	2141				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 S</u>	September 2004.					
	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 7-9 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 28 September 2000 is/s.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 2000.	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Set tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
A44						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
<ul> <li>Notice of Traffsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					

Application/Control Number: 09/672,206 Page 2

Art Unit: 2141

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Allowable Subject Matter

1. Claims 1-6 are allowed.

2. As to claims 1-6, the prior art of record teaches the invention substantially as claimed. Poletto teaches a system

and method for thwarting coordinated SYN denial of service attacks (CSDos), wherein a predetermined fraction of SYN

packets destined for a server is switched to a processor for analysis (paragraphs 0025-0031), establishing a TCP

connection between the client and server, monitoring the timeout connections, wherein if the timeout connections exceeds

a predetermined threshold, the connection is reset.

However, the prior art of record does not explicitly teach controlling a network switch to divert a predetermined

fraction of SYN packets destined for a server, to a web guard processor, and if after monitoring the timed-out connections

exceeds a predetermined threshold, controlling the switch to divert all SYN packets destined to said server to said web

guard processor. The examiner finds applicants arguments presented in the response of September 2, 2004, page 3, line 6 -

page 5, line 19 to be persuasive.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections

under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international

application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section

371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the

Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S.

patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the

prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35

U.S.C. 102(e)).

4.

Application/Control Number: 09/672,206

Art Unit: 2141

5. Claim(s) 7-9 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Poletto et al. (US Patent

Application Publication No. 2002/0031134 and Poletto hereinafter).

6. As per claim(s) 7 Poletto discloses arranging a switch receiving said SYN packets destined to said server to

forward said SYN packets to a TCP proxy arranged to operate without an associated cache, whereby said TCP proxy,

when subject to a CSDOS attack, does not successfully establish a TCP connection with said malicious host, and no TCP

connection is made from said TCP proxy to said server, thereby protecting said server from said attack, (See Paragraph

0048-0055 and 0062-0072).

7. As per claim(s) 8 Poletto discloses forwarding a statistical sampling of packets from a switch in said network to a

processor, if packets in said sampling indicate an attack, alerting the operation of said switch to reduce the effects of said

attack, (See Paragraph 0042-0048).

8. As per claim(s) 9 Poletto teaches the claimed invention as described in claim(s) 8 above and furthermore

discloses said switch is arranged to discard packets in the event an attack is detected, (See Paragraph 0060-0062).

Response to Arguments

9. The applicants argued in substance that:

as to the anticipatory teachings of the prior art as to claim 7 as asserted by the examiner, the prior art

cited teaches 'a monitoring process that includes the gateway or data collectors keeping track of a metric for each

of N different traffic buckets. Each of these buckets 'are implemented as storage areas in the memory space of

the data collector or gateway device.' Therefore, it is respectfully submitted that for at least this reason, it is

submitted that Poletto does not teach at least the claimed feature of 'arranging a switch receiving said SYN

packets destined to said server to forward said SYN packets to a TCP proxy arranged to operate without an

associated cache."

Page 3

As to point a), the examiner respectfully disagrees. The language of the claim "a TCP proxy arranged to operate without an associated cache" is not interpreted as not having any capability of storing data, but rather as not caching data to enhancing user access. The data buckets of the prior art does not pertain to caching such data requested by the user, but stores data related to monitoring SYN packets which may be malicious. For these reasons, the applicants' arguments are not deemed to be persuasive.

The applicants argued claims 8 and 9 depend on allowable independent claims, therefore did not present separate arguments. It is noted however that claim 8 is in independent form, and previous rejections are maintained.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul H Kang whose telephone number is (571) 272-3882. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 hour flex. First Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rupal Dharia can be reached on (571) 272-3880. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/672,206

Art Unit: 2141

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner